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#### Abstract

The intermolecular meta photocycloaddition of 1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran and 1,3-dihydroisoindole-2carboxylic acid methyl ester with cyclopentene and 2,5-dihydrofuran affords two new classes of photoadducts. The photoadducts were identified by the SORT\&gen algorithm as New Molecular Entities in two-dimensional scaffold space. The structures of the adducts were established by NMR spectroscopy and the stereo-, regio- and chemoselectivity of the reactions is discussed.


J. Heterocyclic Chem., 42, 227 (2005).

Introduction.
As part of our New Molecular Entities (NME) project the software program SORT\&gen was introduced [1]. Using a set of descriptors SORT\&gen makes it possible to define molecules in two-dimensional space on the basis of their scaffold ring constructions and the position of various functional groups and heteroatoms. When applied to the available literature databases this two-dimensional scaffold space appears to be remarkably empty. Based on the descriptors defining the empty spaces, all of the corresponding 'missing' scaffolds can be generated. This makes SORT\&gen a valuable tool in the search for New Molecular Entities. The aim of the current research is to get from these virtual molecules to real molecules, preferably accessible in a limited number of steps. Out of the thousands of useful NME's we have identified two which serve as a proof of the SORT\&gen concept and which are accessible in one single reaction step i.e., the pentacyclo[6.3.0.0 $0^{1,3} .0^{2,6}$ ]tetradec-13-ene and the pentacyclo[6.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,12.03,7}$ ]tetradec-13-ene framework. Because the ultimate goal is to obtain novel drug-like molecules, at least one heteroatom must be part of the scaffold.

The meta photocycloaddition of benzenes to alkenes is an elegant method to construct, in one single reaction step, complex polycyclic ring systems. Numerous examples of both inter- and intramolecular meta photocycloaddition reactions are known [2]. Photochemical reactions of arenes with oxygen-containing alkenes such as 1,3-dioxole, dihydrofurans and -pyrans [3-8] are well documented to give ortho, meta and para adducts. Intramolecular photocycloaddition reactions of pent-4-enylbenzenes with an oxygen atom at the $\alpha$-, $\beta$ - or $\gamma$ position of the side chain give mainly meta adducts [9-11]. Photochemical reactions of nitrogen containing arenes in which the nitrogen atom is part of the aromatic ring or adjacent to the ring do not result in meta addition but yield fragmentation, ortho [12] and/or para addition [13] and other 1:1-photoaddition products $[13,14]$. However, Blackmore and Gilbert reported the successful intramolecular meta photocycloaddition of 3-benzylazaprop-1-enes in which the nitrogen
atom in the tether was protected with an electron-withdrawing acetyl or carbomethoxy group to give an azatriquinane as the major photoadduct $[15,16]$. The chemical yield was much larger with carbomethoxy than with acetyl as the N-protecting group.

As part of our NME-program we have investigated the photochemical reaction of 1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran (1a), 1,3-dihydroisoindole-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester (1b) and indane (1c) with 2,5-dihydrofuran (2a), 2,5-dihy-dropyrrole-1-carboxylic acid methyl ester (2b) and cyclopentene (2c) as shown in Scheme 1. The identity of the novel photoadducts was established and the regio- and chemoselectiviy of the reactions is discussed.

Scheme 1


Table 1
Formation of Photoadducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ and their Product Distribution

| Arene | Olefin | Adduct [a] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | 2a | 3aa:4aa (9:4) |
| 1a | 2b | --- |
| 1a | 2c | 3ac:4ac $(9: 4)$ |
| 1b | 2a | --- |
| 1b | 2b | --- |
| 1b | 2c | 3bc:4bc $(8: 5)$ |
| 1c | 2a | --- |
| 1c | 2b | --- |
| 1c | 2c | --- |

[^0]Results and Discussion.
Irradiation of 1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran (1a) in acetonitrile in the presence of 2,5-dihydrofuran (2a) or cyclopentene (2c) at $\lambda_{\text {exc }}=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ for 76 h resulted in an about $65 \%$ conversion into two regioisomeric photoadducts, the propellane derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ and the tetraquinanes $\mathbf{4}$ with $35 \%$ of starting arene 1a left. Under identical circumstances 1b reacted with $\mathbf{2 c}$ to ca $90 \%$ of the adducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ with less than $10 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ remaining. In all cases more $\mathbf{3}$ than $\mathbf{4}$ is produced. All other arene-alkene combinations studied only yielded either trace amounts of photoproducts which could not be isolated nor identified or did not react at all. The formation of photoadducts 3 and 4 and their product distribution are summarised in Table 1. After evaporation of the solvent, the irradiation mixtures were taken up in ethylacetate and polymeric material was filtered off. The filtrates were evaporated and purified by preparative GC. The structures of the photoadducts were determined using NMR spectroscopy. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data of the relevant bridgehead protons of the photoadducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are presented in Table 2.

Table 2
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR data of Photoadducts 3 and 4[a]

| Adduct | H-2 | H-3 | H-7 | H-8 | H-9 | H-10 | H-11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3aa | 1.84 | 3.30 | 3.39 | --- | 5.63 | 5.88 | 1.95 |
| 3ac | 1.81 | 3.04 | 3.14 | --- | 5.62 | 5.81 | 1.86 |
| 4ac | --- | 2.62 | 3.56 | 2.81 | 5.69 | 5.76 | 1.96 |
| 3bc | 1.80 | 3.03 | 3.12 | --- | 5.62 | 5.75 | 1.85 |
| 4bc | --- | 2.85 | 3.71 | 3.00 | 5.74 | 5.80 | 1.87 |

[a] No conclusive spectroscopical data of 4aa could be obtained.

Stereoselectivity.
Cyclic alkenes such as 1a-c can be oriented towards the arene, when adding, yielding endo-adducts, or away from the arene, yielding exo-adducts [2,6]. The stereochemical orientation of the addends in the photoadducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ was established with NMR spectroscopy. The degree of coupling between vicinal protons can be predicted on the basis of the magnitude of the dihedral angles. This makes it possible to distinguish between the endo or exo configuration of the photoadducts. The dihedral angle between H 2 and H3 in the PM3-optimised [17] structure of propellane $\mathbf{3}$ is ca $20^{\circ}$ for the endo configuration and $110^{\circ}$ for the exo configuration. The Karplus relation $[18,19]$ predicts mediumsized coupling between H 2 and H 3 in the case of the endo adduct and a small or virtually absent one in the case of the exo adduct. The $J_{2,3}$-values of the propellane derivatives were found to be $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 6.6 Hz for 3aa, 3ac
and 3bc, respectively. Therefore photoadducts 3 were assigned the endo configuration. This assignment is supported by the chemical shift of H7. Comparison of the available ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data on endo and exo adducts shows that this shift is a valuable tool to distinguish between both configurations. In endo adducts H 7 is found between $\delta$ 2.90-3.50 while in exo adducts H 7 resonates between $\delta$ 2.10-2.30 [11]. This profound up-field shift of H 7 in exo adducts can be attributed to the shielding effect by the C9C 10 double bond [20,21]. The chemical shifts of H 7 in the propellane derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ are all above $\delta 3.10$ (Table 2).

In the case of the tetraquinane photoadducts 4 the dihedral angle between H 7 and H 8 was PM3-calculated to be ca $40^{\circ}$ for the endo and ca. $85^{\circ}$ for the exo configuration. The coupling between H 7 and H 8 in exo-adducts has indeed been shown to be too small to be determined [11]. The $J_{8,7}$-value of adduct 4 ac was found to be 5.9 Hz . Unfortunately the multiplicity of H8 in 4bc could not be established as it appeared as a broadened singlet in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum at $\delta 3.00$. The position of H 7 in $\mathbf{4 b c}$ coincides with that of the carbomethoxy group thus obscuring its multiplicity. However, a relatively strong correlation between H 7 and H 8 is observed in the 2DNOE spectrum of $\mathbf{4 b c}$. Therefore photoadducts $\mathbf{4}$ are also assigned the endo configuration. The low-field position of H7 of both 4ac ( $\delta 3.56$ ) and $\mathbf{4 b c}(\delta 3.70)$ is consistent with this assignment.

The preferred formation of endo adducts has previously been observed in the irradiation of tetralin and xylenes in the presence of various alkenes [22-27]. The preference is the result of an intermolecular hyperconjugation between the allylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-groups of the alkene and the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms of the aromatic ring [28]. This effect can only occur in the endo approach of the reagents despite the increased steric hindrance involved in this mode of addition.

## Regioselectivity.

The ratio in which $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are produced ( $\sim 2: 1$ ) is similar to that of the meta-adducts of $o$-xylene and cyclopentene [22,29]. The preference for production of $\mathbf{3}$ over $\mathbf{4}$ is ascribed to the asymmetrical partitioning of complex $\mathbf{I}$, formed between the $S_{1}$-state of the arene and the $\mathrm{S}_{0}$-state of the olefin, into adducts 3 and 4 (Figure 1).

The major photoadduct $\mathbf{3}$ is the result of the formation of the three-membered ring between C 1 and C 5 while 4 is the result of a C1-C3 closure. The direction of the closure is affected by substituents at the arene [30]. Because there is a certain degree of charge transfer between the addends from the cycloalkene to the excited benzene an electronaccepting substituent at the site of the addition will promote bond formation at the carbon atom to which it is attached. That carbon atom (C2) will attain $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ character earlier than the other one (C6) and it will draw its neighbours closer together, thus promoting ring closure on this side. The


Figure 1
opposite will be true for electron-donating substituents at the arene. Since the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{XCH}_{2}$ - substituent at the arene ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{COOMe})$ ) is weakly electron donating [32], C 2 will retain its $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ character longer, leading to preferred closure of the three-membered ring between C1 and C5 and thus to the preferred formation of $\mathbf{3}$.

## Chemoselectivity.

Although indane (1c) was been reported to undergo meta photocycloaddition with vinylacetate [32], it appears unreactive towards alkenes 2a-c. Tetralin on the other hand, the next homologue of $\mathbf{1 c}$, has been reported to undergo meta photocycloaddition with 2c [27]. The lower quantum yield of the reaction of tetralin with 2 c compared to the reaction of $o$-xylene with $2 \mathbf{c}(\phi=0.01$ and 0.08 , respectively) indicates that an increase in ring strain leads to a decrease in quantum yield. The reluctance of the even more strained $\mathbf{1 c}$ to react with cyclopentenes $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c}$ is in line with this observation. The non-reactivity of $\mathbf{1 b}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}$ remains unexplained at the moment.
Photoadducts were also not detected in the reaction of alkene $\mathbf{2 b}$ with arenes $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- c}$. Efficient quenching of the fluorescence of aromatic compounds by carbamates has been reported by several groups $[33,34]$. The quenching presumably involves partial electron transfer away from the excited arene, a process that is known to be detrimental to the formation of meta photocycloadducts $[22,35]$.

In conclusion, this work shows that new molecules with unique scaffolds can be synthesized in a quite limited number of steps. Thus, in a single reaction step the meta photocycloaddition reaction of functionalized indanes and cyclopentenes yields some new molecular entities (NME) which occupy an empty scaffold space as identified by the SORT\&gen program. Our research towards the synthesis of NME's continues.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The irradiations were carried out using spectroscopic grade acetonitrile as solvent in quartz vessels in a Rayonet

Photochemical Reactor RPR 200 fitted with five 254 nm lamps, placed in a room cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of the reactions was followed by GC. The analyses of the irradiation mixtures were performed on a Varian 3400 GC (CpSil-5, $25 \mathrm{~m} x 0.25$ $\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.40 \mu$, carrier gas $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) equipped with a FID. Mass spectra were obtained on a HP 5890 GC with a HP5920 MS detector (DBX-4, $25 \mathrm{mx} 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.25 \mu$, carrier gas $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). Accurate mass measurements were obtained on a VG-70SE mass spectrometer at 50 eV and 70 eV . Isolation by means of preparative gas chromatography was performed on an Intesmat model 120 ( $10 \%$ CP-sil- $5,25 \mathrm{~m} \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$, carrier gas $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrometry was performed on a Varian VXR 400S operating at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and at 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR. All spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with TMS as the internal standard.

Starting Materials.
Compounds 1a, 1c, 2a and 2c are commercially available (Acros) and were used without further purification.

## 1,3-Dihydroisoindole-2-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (1b).

To a suspension of 1,2-bis-bromomethylbenzene ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 40.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 ml of dry dimethylformamide was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of methyl carbamate ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 ml of dry dimethylformamide. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and poured on ice. The resulting precipitate was collected and purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, $3: 1$ petroleum ether (bp $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )/ethylacetate) to yield $\mathbf{1 b}(1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 8.25$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%$ ) as light yellow crystals, mp $88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit $89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) [36]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right)$.

## 2,5-Dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (2b).

To a suspension of 2,5 -dihydro- 1 H -pyrrole ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7$ mmol ) and potassium carbonate ( $4.02 \mathrm{~g}, 29.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 ml of water cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise methyl chloroformate $(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature and extracted with $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ of ether. The combined organic layers were washed with 25 ml of brine, dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated to yield $\mathbf{2 b}$ ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ) as a colorless oil which solidified on standing, mp: 37-38 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. 37-39 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) [37]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \delta 5.82$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11$ (d, 4H), 3.67 (s, 3H).

## Photoproducts.

Mixtures of 0.5 M of 1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran (1a) and 1.5 M of 2,5-dihydrofuran (2a), 0.5 M of 1,3-dihydroisobenzofuran (1a)
and 1.5 M of cyclopentene (2c) and of $0.5 M$ of 1,3-dihydroisoin-dole-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester (1b) and 1.5 M of cyclopentene ( $\mathbf{2 c}$ ) were irradiated for 76 h followed by the removal of the solvent. Irradiation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in the presence of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ resulted in a ca $65 \%$ conversion into the two photoadducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in a 9:4 ratio with $35 \%$ of starting arene $\mathbf{1 a}$ left. Under identical circumstances $\mathbf{1 b}$ reacted with $\mathbf{2 c}$ to ca $90 \%$ of the adducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in an $8: 5$ ratio with less than $10 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ remaining. The resulting mixtures were taken up in ethylacetate and polymeric material was filtered off. The filtrates were evaporated and purified by preparative GC.

## 6,10-Dioxapentacyclo[6.3.0 $\left.{ }^{1,3} \cdot 0^{2,6}\right]$ tetradec-13-ene (3aa).

The irradiation and purification procedure yielded 17 mg of $\mathbf{3 a a}$ and 4 mg of a mixture of 3aa and 4aa. From the later mixture no conclusive spectroscopic data could be obtained. Adduct 3aa ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.88\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{~J}_{10,9}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{10,11}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, \mathrm{~J}_{9,10}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ $\left.12, \mathrm{~J}_{12,12}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{~J}_{13,13^{\prime}}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}_{12}, 12=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{~J}_{4,3}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{4,4^{\prime}}\right.$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~J}_{6,6^{\prime}}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{4^{\prime}, 4}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{4^{\prime}, 3}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}^{\prime} 6^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}_{6^{\prime}, 6}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{6^{\prime}, 7}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}_{13 ', 13}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{~J}_{7,3}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{7,6^{\prime}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.30\left(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=10.0\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{J}_{3,7}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3,2}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3,4^{\prime}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ $11, \mathrm{~J}_{11,2}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{11,10}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.84 (broadened $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{2,3}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2,11}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}: \delta 133.26(\mathrm{~d}), 131.45(\mathrm{~d})$, $74.45(\mathrm{t}), 72,68(\mathrm{~s}), 70.90(\mathrm{t}), 68.00(\mathrm{t}), 68.22(\mathrm{~d}), 68.17(\mathrm{t}), 63.24$ (s), 49.54 (d), 34.20 (d), 33.07 (d). MS (m/e): 190 (0), 142 (3), 131 (8), 130 (22), 129 (100), 128 (42), 117 (13), 115 (67), 92 (22), 91 (40), 77 (14). HRMS: Calcd. for 190.0994, found 190.0988.

10-Oxapentacyclo[6.3.0 $0^{1,3} .0^{2,6}$ ]tetradec-13-ene (3ac) and 3Oxapentacyclo[6.3.0 $0^{2,12} \cdot 0^{3,7}$ ]tetradec-13-ene (4ac).

The irradiation and purification procedure yielded 22 mg of 3ac and 16 mg of 4ac, both as colourless oils. Adduct $3 \mathbf{a c c}^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{~J}_{10,9}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{10,11}=2.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, \mathrm{~J}_{9,10}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{9,11}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12, \mathrm{~J}_{12,12^{\prime}}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.89\left(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{~J}_{12}, 12=\right.$ $\left.9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{13,13^{\prime}}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}_{13^{\prime}, 13}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7), 3.04\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$ as broadened dq, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{3,7}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3,2}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3,4^{\prime}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.86(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{11,2}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{11,10}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{~J}_{2,11}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{2,3}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.70-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{H}-6) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}: \delta$ 134.76 (d), 132.76 (d), 75.24 (t), 73.00 ( s$), 69.30$ (t), 66.35 (d), 63.22 ( s$), 51.55$ (d), 36.02 (d), 33.61 (d), 30.50 (t), 29.82 ( t), 25.97 (t). MS(m/e): 188 (15), 159 (11), 157 (13), 143 (14), 130 (36), 129 (100), 128 (39), 119 (16), 117 (18), 116 (23), 115 (56), 92 (34), 91 (55), 77 (18), 67 (15), 65 (16), 51 (14). HRMS: Calcd. for 188.1201 , found: 188.1212 . Adduct 4ac ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 5.76 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 10, \mathrm{~J}_{10,9}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{10,11}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{10,8}=0.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.69\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, \mathrm{~J}_{9,10}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{9.8}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{9,11}=0.7\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12, \mathrm{~J}_{12,12^{\prime}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{~J}_{13,13}\right.$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}_{12}{ }^{\prime}, 12=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{13^{\prime} 13}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7), 2.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{~J}_{8,7}=5.9\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{J}_{8.9}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.62\left(\right.$ ddd as $\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{~J}_{3,7}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3,4}=$ $\left.9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3,4^{\prime}}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11), 1.70-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 4, H-5, H-6). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}: \delta 136.88$ (d), 131.04 (d), 77.40 (t), 69.93 (d), 69.07 (t), $66.46(\mathrm{~s}), 52,12(\mathrm{~d}), 51.97$ (d), 49.41 ( s$), 42.80(\mathrm{~d})$, 30.79 (t), 30.20 (t), 26.75 (t). MS(m/e): 188 (17), 158 (15), 157
(15), $143(210,142(16), 130(37), 129(84), 128(36), 119(43)$, 117 (25), 115 (57), 92 (75), 91 (100), 78 (21), 77 (28), 68 (23), 65 (30). HRMS: Calcd. for 188.1201, found: 188.1200 .

10-Azapentacyclo[6.3.0 ${ }^{1,3} .0^{2,6}$ ]tetradec-13-ene 10-Carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (3bc) and 3-Azapentacyclo[6.3.02,12.03,7]-tetradec-13-ene 10-Carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (4bc).

The irradiation and purification procedure yielded 19 mg of $\mathbf{3 b c}$ and 7 mg of $\mathbf{4 b c}$, both as colourless oils. Adduct $\mathbf{3 b c}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR: $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{~J}_{10,9}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{10,11}=2.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, \mathrm{~J}_{9,10}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12), 3.65$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-13\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-13 \mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)$, $3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 1.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11), 1.80$ (broadened $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60-1.45$ (m, 5H, H-4', H-5, H-6). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ [38]: $\delta 155.73$ (s), 135.67/135.42 (2d), 131.84/131.81 (2d), 69.68/68.66 (2s), 67.94 (d), 60.62/59.81 (2s), 53.89/53.49 (2t), 52.34 (q), 50,69 (d), 48.27/47.88 (2t), 36.47 (d), 35.71 (d), 30.05 (t), 29.53 (t), 25.67 (t). MS (m/e): 245 (55), 230 (14), 216 (10), 176 (40), 162 (99), 158 (24), 157 (26), 156 (27), 143 (24), 129 (75), 128 (53), 118 (49), 117 (36), 116 (27), 115 (62), 102 (31), 91 (48), 77 (27), 67 (23), 59 (100). HRMS: Calcd. for 245.1416, found 245.1416 . Adduct 4bc ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}: \delta 5.80\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{~J}_{10,9}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{10,11}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.74\left(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9, \mathrm{~J}_{9,10}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{9,8}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{9,11}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-12 . \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, H-7, H-12', H-13), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.40 (d, 1H, H-13', J ${ }_{13 '}$ ', 13 $=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00($ broadened $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-8), 2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 1.90$ (m, 1H, H-4), $1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11), 1.65-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ [38]: $\delta 155.34$ (s), 136.41/136.34 (2d), 130.22/130.16 (2d), 68.56 (d), 63.17/62.35 (2s), 56.53/56.02 (2t), 53.31 (d), 52.94 (d), 52.22 (q), 49.23/48.73 (2t), 47.48/46.59 (2s), 43.74 (d), 30.12 (t), 29.49 (t), 25.97 (t). MS (m/e): 245 (30), 177 (17), 176 (51), 163 (10), 162 (100), 158 (19), 157 (14), 143 (12), 130 (21), 129 (42), 128 (27), 118 (39), 117 (30), 116 (18), 115 (36), 102 (10), 91 (36), 89 (11), 78 (11), 77 (18), 67 (16), 59 (72). HRMS: Calcd. for 245.1416, found 245.1418 .
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